

United States Fatent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/540,461 | 03/31/2000 | Charles J. Cohen | CYB-05902/03 | 2113 |
| 75 | 90 02/27/2003 | | | |
| John G Posa Gifford Krass Groh Sprinkle Patmore Anderson & Citkowski PC | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | VU, THANH T | |
| 280 N Old Woodward Ave Suite 400 Birmingham, MI 48009 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2174 | 3 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003 | _ |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | <u> </u> | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| - | | 09/540,461 | COHEN ET AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | š | Thanh T. Vu | 2174 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | |
| Period to A SH | or Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | | | |
| - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r | sisting of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | within the statutory minir vill apply and will expire S | num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | | s action is non-fin | al | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-12,14,16 and 19-21</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,13,15,17 and 18</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| | on Papers | election requirem | ient. | | | |
| 9) 🗌 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 t | J.S.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f) | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of | eau (PCT Rule 17 | (.2(a)) | | | |
| 14) 🗌 A | cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 | U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | |
| 15)∐ A | ☐ The translation of the foreign language provices the control of the foreign language provices the control of the foreign language provides the control of the control of the foreign language provides the control of | risional applicatior priority under 35 | n has been received. U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | |
| Attachment | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) 🔲 N | nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) lotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ther: | | | |
| S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev | | ion Summary | Part of Paper No. 3 | | | |

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

Non-initialed and/or non-dated alterations have been made to the oath or declaration. See
 CFR 1.52(c).

Specification

2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Art Unit: 2174

The tables after the claims are not proper. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant fails to describe in the specification wherein the target is a group of people making gestures and the gesture-recognition modules recognize such gestures.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 5. Claims 1-3, 8-12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nguyen (U.S. Pat. No. 6,072,494).
- 6. Per claim 1, and Nguyen teaches a method of behavior recognition, comprising the steps of: analyzing a gesture-making target utilizing a plurality of gesture-recognition modules, each outputting information relating to target location and gesture type (figs 2 and 3; col. 2, lines 6-14); designating certain target locations and gesture types as predefined behaviors (figs 2 and 3;

Art Unit: 2174

col. 2, lines 6-14); comparing the information from the gesture-recognition modules to the predefined behaviors (figs 2 and 3; col. 2, lines 6-14; col. 7, lines 27-31); and in the event of a correlation between the output of the gesture-recognition modules and a particular predefined behavior, determining that the behavior of the target includes the particular gesture (col. 5, lines 60-63).

- 7. Per claim 2, Nguyen teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the target is a human being (fig. 2, col. 5, lines 48-51).
- 8. Per claim 3, Nguyen teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the target is a group of people (col. 3, lines 15-21; It is inherent that a dynamic background might have more than one person in it).
- 9. Per claim 8, Nguyen teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the step of analyzing the gesture-making target includes imaging the target (fig. 2; col. 5, lines 48-51).
- 10. Per claim 9, Nguyen teaches the method of claim 8, further including the step of generating a bounding box around the target (col. 7, lines 55-65).
- 11. Per claim 10, Nguyen teaches the method of claim 8, further including the step of using an operator to find the edges of the target (fig. 5B; col. 7, lines 55-65).
- 12. Per claim 11, Nguyen teaches the method of claim 1, further including the steps of: receiving a file of recognized gestures along with their vector descriptions; and comparing the outputs of the gesture recognition modules to the vector descriptions (col 7, lines 27-31).
- 13. Per claim 12, Nguyen teaches the method of claim 1, further including the step of treating a gesture as a dynamic gesture comprising one or more one-dimensional oscillations (fig. 2; col. 6, lines 18-20).

Art Unit: 2174

14. Per claim 14, Nguyen teaches the method of claim 12, further including the step of deriving complex dynamic gestures by varying phase relationships (col. 5, lines 10-15).

15. Per claim 16, Nguyen teaches the method of claim 12, further including the step of comparing to the next position and velocity of each gesture to one or more predictor bins to determine a gesture's future position and velocity (col. 9, lines 25-40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 17. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen (U.S. Pat. 6,072,494) in view of Freeman (U.S. Pat. No. 5,454,043).
- 18. Per claim 4, Nguyen teaches the method of claim 1, but does not teach the target is a human hand. However, Freeman teaches a gesture-making target is a human hand (fig. 1 and 2A). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the hand gesture as taught by Freeman in the invention of Nguyen in order to provide hand gestures which give more flexibility for convenient computer control.
- 19. Per claim 5, Nguyen teaches the method of claim 2, but does not teach the gesture-recognition modules output information relating to static and dynamic gestures. However, Freeman teaches the gesture-recognition modules output information relating to static and dynamic gestures (fig. 1 and 2A; See abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the hand gesture as taught by

Application/Control Number: 09/540,461 Page 6

Art Unit: 2174

Freeman in the invention of Nguyen in order to provide static and dynamic hand gestures which give more flexibility for convenient computer control.

- 20. Per claim 6, Freeman teaches the method of claim 5, further including the steps of: deriving the start position of the target, the end position of the target, and the velocity between the start and end positions (52-60); comparing the velocity of the target to a threshold value; and identifying the gesture as a static gesture if the velocity is below the threshold value, otherwise, identifying the gesture as a dynamic gesture (col. 3, lines 31-38; col. 4, lines 25-30; col. 4, lines 50-56).
- 21. Claims 19, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen (U.S. Pat. 6,072,494) in view of Qiao et al. ("Qiao", U.S. Pat. No. 6,075,895). Nguyen teaches the method of claim 1, but does not teach the target includes a robot, a weapon, or a vehicle. However, Quiao teaches the target includes a robot, a weapon, or a vehicle (col. 12, lines 23 –30; col. 12, lines 44-48; col. 1, lines 10-11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include gesture-making targets as taught by Quiao in the invention of Nguyen in order to provide various gesture-making targets which give more flexibility of computer control.

Allowable Subject Matter

22. Claims 7, 13, 15, and 17-18 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2174

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Kirlay et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,249,606) teaches a method and system for gesture category

recognition and training using a feature vector.

Courtney (U.S. Pat. No. 5,696,755) teaches a motion base event detection system and

method.

Smith et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,128,003) teaches a hand gesture recognition system and

method.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thanh T. Vu whose telephone number is (703)-308-9119. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kristine L. Kincaid can be reached on (703) 308-0640. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-746-7239 for regular

communications and (703)-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

T. Vu

February 24, 2003

Vistine Vincaid KRISTINE KINCAID SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 7